

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –67)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,128 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeffery N. Lüthi", written over a horizontal line.

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk
U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio
By: /s/Robert Pitts
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-67 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ALASKA			
AK	3	18-00260	Tanana Chiefs Conference et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
GEORGIA NORTHERN			
GAN	3	18-00131	Carroll County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
IDAHO			
ID	1	18-00479	Canyon County v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
KENTUCKY WESTERN			
KYW	1	18-00158	Green County Fiscal Court v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
KYW	3	18-00714	Breckinridge County Fiscal Court v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
KYW	3	18-00715	Hardin County Fiscal Court v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
KYW	3	18-00716	Meade County Fiscal Court v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
KYW	4	18-00169	City of Henderson Kentucky v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN			
MSN	4	18-00212	County of Grenada v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MISSOURI EASTERN			
MOE	4	18-01882	City of St. Louis v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN			
NCE	5	18-00517	Wilk v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al

OREGON

OR 3 18-01911 Reynolds v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al

UTAH

UT 2 18-00863 Carbon County v. Purdue Pharma LP et al

WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

~~WVS 2 18-01390 Riling et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al~~ Opposed 11/26/18
WVS 2 18-01392 The Town of Gauley Bridge, West Virginia v.
AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al